G.R.S. IX-5]

Preserved & Rescue

Previous references.

LB: 35-17 (1-2)

192 /21

LB (:)

File No. 146-21

Serial Nos. 1-4

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. V

Part B for November 1922.

SUBJECT

Control of tanneries by the Bangalore Taluk Board.

Sl. 1) From the President B'lore Dt. B^d. (No: Dis 68 & 26 5/22)
Submits a copy of order reviewing the work done connected with tanneries complaining that the TK B^d have not taken proper steps to enforce bylaws inspite of strict instructions from the President.
No. L 3569 & 14-11-22

Sl 2) Makes certain observations and points out that the order referred to by him to the TK. B^d. cannot be binding on it for reasons stated

SRS
29/11

Printed on the Multigraph.

Office of the President, District
Board, Bangalore District
Dated, Bangalore, 25th May 1922.

From,

The President, District Board,
Bangalore District.

To

A.V.Ramanathan, Esqr., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department (in charge).
Bangalore.

LB146-21-1

Sir,

Adverting to Government order No.R.5678-9/L.B.35-17-2 dated 8th November 1918, sanctioning the bye-laws for the control of tanneries in the Bangalore District and subsequent correspondence ending with the marginally noted Government orders on the same subject, I have the honor to submit herewith for the information of Government, a copy of the order to the President, Bangalore Taluk Board, briefly reviewing the action to be taken in the matter.

No.F.16557/L.E.53-18-11 dated 24-6-1919.
No.R.6803/L.B.40-19-3 dated 10-12-1919.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

President, District Board,
Bangalore District.
(In Charge)

3

Office of the President, District
Bangalore District,
Dated, Bangalore, 25th May 1933.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The bye-laws for the control of tanneries in the Bangalore District were finally sanctioned by Government in their order No. [illegible]7 L.B.53-18-11 dated 24th June 1919, and the President of the Taluk Board was instructed in this office No.R.Dis.1/19-20 dated 4th July 1919 to strictly enforce the same. Though nearly three years have elapsed since then, due effect has not been given to the said G.O. license fees due to the Board have not been realized and a heavy expenditure in the shape of Establishment charges (1 Special Sanitary Inspector for this purpose by name C.Tirumalachar) amounting to over Rs.1600/- has had to be incurred without any adequate return. Beyond submitting applications for licenses, the tannery owners have not improved the buildings so as to conform to the conditions of the bye-laws and this has precluded the grant of their applications. The Taluk Board staff has not moved in the matter and taken any notice of the unauthorized action on the part of the tanners, who have been carrying on their trade without a licenses. This state of affairs betrays culpable neglect in carrying out the orders of Government in the matter and the President of the Taluk Board will state what explanation he has to offer for his failure to carry out the orders referred to above, and how he would propose to recoup to the District Board the license fees, amounting to about Rs.4,000/- according to old L. which the Board have been deprived of during the past three years.

2. The President of the Taluk Board should not allow the present state of affairs to continue any longer. He will, in communication with the District Medical and Sanitary Officer, take immediate steps to enforce the bye-laws. Notice should be issued at once to the tannery owners to carry out the works described in the bye-laws and apply for licenses at once, failing which they would render themselves liable to prosecution under Section 38 of the Local Boards and Village Panchayets Regulation and Rule 2 of the Bye-laws. Time for compliance with this order is given till the 30th June 1933 [illegible] Report should be made by the 5th July 1933 as to what [illegible]

7492 5 3

by the Dt Bd and why the Taluk Bd is responsible for the collection work of license fees which are credited to the Dt Bd Fund &c.

4) The second doubt may be cleared by a reference to the President of the District Board.

DS 21/6/22

21/6/22

✓ Rev. Secy.)

DCS 25/6/22

5) As regards the first point, it has been recognised & definitely laid down that the President of the Taluk Board is not the subordinate of the President or the Vice President of the Dt Board, but is only the executive of the Taluk Board who may control his actions. The Dt Board or its officers should address the President of the Dt Board only for the sanction of the Taluk Board should not pass adverse remarks on the latter's executive duties.

6) Please note if there is any rule either in Madras or Bombay or Bengal.

Bm 6/7

7) There is no specific rule on this point in the Acts of Madras, Bombay and Bengal

DCS 4/7/22

8) Please refer to the rules & manuals. The Act never provides for such details Bm 6/7

DS 4/7/22 (Rev Secy) The rules and manuals also have been looked into and they do not contain any provision on the point at issue

DS 7/7/22 (Rev Secy)

DCS 7/7/22

7492 6

G. R. S. III-2]

WD 2195—GPB—100—2-6-22

General and Revenue Secretariat.

L.B File No. 166 of 1921.

Sub

A ruling may be laid down as suggested in para 5 supra

Rm 13/7

2 Memorandum

347 13/7

4) That in the report of the proceedings of the Presidency Local Boards I am not able to discern [illegible]. The Vice Presidents are not vested with the Vice Presidents [illegible] as Vice Presidents [illegible] do not see any harm in the Vice Presidents of the Local Boards drawing attention of the Taluq Board Presidents the defects or shortcomings in the administration of the Taluq Board

In the memory of the Government has been duly of the District Boards or the Taluq Boards & need not be understood [illegible] Taluq Boards & [illegible] Vice Presidents Commissioner's decision [illegible] same—

Ch 14/7

Rm 17/7

[illegible] for information is submitted

13) Issue.

Sec 24/7/22

22/7/22 Ch

24/7 To the Press Dt 25 Bangalore

Ad 831 dt 27-7-22

Calls for explanation on certain points

REVENUE & JUDICIAL SECRETARIAT 29 JUL 1922 LOCAL SELF GOVT. BRANCH

G. R. S. III-2]

1023 7

General and Revenue Secretariat. | L B File No. 146 of 1921

Serial No.	
3	From the President, Bangalore Dt Bd No. L 119 of 17.8.22 Submits the information called for in S/2

(4) The President explains that the object of the communication was to keep Govt informed of the situation.

He avers that the duty of enforcing the bye-laws has been delegated to the Taluk Bd of Bangalore as a matter of administrative convenience. And that under section 10 (2) of the L.B and V.P. Regn, the Taluk Bd has been acting as the agent of the Dt Bd at the request of the latter. The cost of establishment maintained for the control of tanneries is met from the funds of the Dt Bd.

(5) Submit for information with reference to the 2nd Member's minute in para 2 above

DS 24/8/22 (2nd Member) DCG 23/8/22

1460 29.8.22

Jwl 28/8

I have no meeting to address the President of the District Board in regard to the queries put by me. They were intended for an

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

L. B File No. 146 of 1921

Serial No.	
460	Board & the Board had been noted upon the same. The view taken by the President does not seem to be correct. Please examine the question more & submit with the file papers referred to in the President's communication.

Mahe
25/8

G/30/8/22

The words referred to is printed on pages 47 and 48 of the Handbook of L. B. Rules and Rules

7/9/22 8/9/22

Both the Rules quoted by the President pre-suppose a formal resolution by the District Board and the previous sanction of Govt. before the duties can be assigned to the Taluk Board. Have these conditions been satisfied in the present case?

DCS
22/9/22

Revenue
DS 18/10/22

The Secretariat is not aware if the D.B. have framed any resolution delegating

NOTE—Contn. sheet

1023

9

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

A-B File No. 446 of 1921

Serial No.

Taluk

our duty to the Dt Bd

05
14/10/22

Under the Rules quoted by the President of the Dt. Board, the Dt. Board may assign, by a formal resolution and with the sanction of Government, all or any of the functions detailed therein to the Taluk Boards within the District. As no formal resolution has been passed or sanction of Govt. obtained to the transfer of control over tanneries to the Taluk Board, the order of the Dt. Board dated the 4th July 1919 does not appear to be binding on the Taluk Board, particularly as it has been issued by the President himself without probably a resolution from the Dt Board.

Rev. Secy)

24/10/22

Secretary) 26/10/22

2573
26-10-22

Here may be passed such Memo Resolution

draft please

19/11/22

19/11/22

NOTE—Contn. sheet

1023 10

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

L. R. File No. 146 of 1921

Serial No.

Draft Issued

AS [illegible]

16/11/22

16/11/22

4	To The President, D.B. Bangalore No. L 3569 dt 16.11.22 Makes certain observations and points out that the order dated 4th May 1919 cannot be entered on the Taluk Board.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G. R. S. VI—

SUBJECT

Diary No. of letter replied to 7192

Section LB
File No. 1166-21
Serial No. 2

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, the *191*

No. L 831

Date of issue 27/7/22

Signed by Mr D.C.S

To The Treasurer, Bangalore Dt Bg

Sir,—

With reference to your letter No D.Dr 68/21-22 of 21-5-22 forwarding a copy of the order sanctioning the work done in connection with the Dasara, I am directed to enquire why this sanction has been sent to Govt.

2. In this connection I am to request you will be good enough to state whether the recovery

over.

11922

No. C/19 of 22-23

C1023-22

JUDICIAL SECRETARIAT. 21 AUG 1922 LOCAL SELF GOVT. BRANCH. REVENUE 21 AUG 1922

Office of the President District Board
Bangalore District
(Camp Devanelli) Dated 17th July 1922

From

B. Ramaswamaiya Esq. B.A.B.L.,
President, District Board,
Bangalore District.

To

J. E. Jeyaram Iyer Esq. B.A.B.L.,
Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
Revenue Department
Bangalore.

LB 146-21-3.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. C831 LB 146-21-2 dated 27th July 1922 regarding the control of the tanneries, I have the honor to State that a copy of the Communication in question was submitted to the Government with a view to keep them informed of the situation.

2. With regard to the delegation of this duty to the Taluk Board, I beg to submit that since the passing of the bye-law for the control of the tanneries, the duty of enforcing the same is being done by

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 1023

Section [illegible]
File No. 1116-21
Serial No 69

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, *the* 191 .

No. L 3569

Date of issue 14/11/22

Signed by — [illegible]

To

The Person, Bungalows Dr Bd

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No C 119 of 17.8.22 in regard to the supervision of the conveniences by the Bungalow Inspector Bd I am directed to observe that under the rules quoted copies in support of the transfer of the supervision the Dr Bd has to assign the

over.